Boerhaave


3rd Endoscopic Skull Base Masterclass June 23 and June 24 2016 () No. of responses = 24



Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses av.=Mean dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention

General 0% 0% 0% 34.8% 65.2% My overall evaluation of the content of this course is n=23 very poor very good av.=4,7 dev.=0.5 0% 82,6% 0% 0% 17,4% n=23 av.=4,8 dev.=0,4 The content of this course is relevant fully disagree fully agree 60,9% I see sufficient possibilities to translate the content of n=23 av.=4,6 dev.=0,6 fully disagree fully agree this course into practice 0% 0% 0% 17.4% 82.6% There were sufficient opportunities for participants to contribute, pose questions and engage in group n=23 av.=4,8 dev.=0,4 fully disagree fully agree interaction 69,6% 30,4% n=23 av.=4,7 dev.=0,5 In my view, the quality of the teaching was very poor very good 0% 0% 0% 47.8% 52,2% n=23 av.=4,5 dev.=0,5 The balance between time investment and acquired very poor very good knowledge is 66,7% n=24 av.=4,7 dev.=0,5 The organization of the course is very poor very good 0% 0% 40% 60% The information about the course was clear and to-then=20 av.=4,6 dev.=0,5 fully disagree fully agree point

I have heard about this course via n=20 flyer 0% 15% email announcement 65% colleague professional association 20% My decision to participate in this course was mostly due to n=15 the subject of the course 60% the program and speakers 26.7% the price in relation to the quality 0% 0% the location 13.3% the course organiser compulsory course 0% Course specific questons 0% 73.9% n=23 av.=4,7 dev.=0,6 I would recommend this course to anybody in the same absolutely not certainly position as I am currently 70,8% n=24 av.=4,7 dev.=0,6 My general impression of the course is very bad very good 0% 0% 8.7% 21.7% 69.6% The course gave in-depth coverage of the subject n=23 av.=4,6 dev.=0,7 too shallow too deep 0% 0% 8,7% 39,1% 52,2% n=23 av.=4,4 dev.=0,7 The variety of topics was too limited too great 0% 63,6% n=22 av.=4,5 dev.=0,7 The number of course elements which were not relevant very high very small for me, was 5 4.2% 8.3% 4.2% 41.7% 41.7% The course provided me with theoretical knowledge which I did not yet have n=24 little much av.=4,1 dev.=1,1 36,4% 50% n=22 av.=4,4 dev.=0,7 The course provided me with practical knowledge which much I did not yet have 3 0% 0% 0% 31.6% 68.4% In my view, the quality of the dissection demonstration n=19 av.=4,7 very bad very good on Thursday was: dev.=0,5 5 21,7% 73,9% 0% 0% 4,3% n=23 av.=4,7 dev.=0,6 In my view, the quality of the practical dissection on very bad very good Thursday was: